Behavioral Health Continuum Infrastructure Program Round 5: Crisis Continuum, August 4, 2022 Listening Session; Unique Viewers: 341, Total Users: 409

Identified Themes

| Prompt 1 | What would you like DHCS to consider as we roll out the BHCIP Round 5: Crisis Continuum? |
|-----------------------|---|
| Participant Responses | Most Common Themes: Transparent funding criteria Use local county planning projects to determine this round of funding—not limited only to crisis programs—may not be responsive to local identified needs Publish the scoring rubric for proposals Priority to organizations that were not funded in prior rounds Small organizational challenges: letters of support provided after conditional award, not limited to only brick-and-mortar projects |
| | Other Responses: Require culturally relevant projects Prioritize grassroots organizations Need to match funding sources to eligible facilities/programs to sustain services |

| Prompt 2 | What should DHCS consider when building out the request for application? |
|-----------------------|---|
| Participant Responses | Longer application preparation window Prioritize step-down services/programs from crisis services Project readiness and allow pre-development More specific directions and flexibility in meeting "site control" eligibility Longer development timelines—processes take more time, e.g., supply chain, real estate, permits Clarification of priorities—acquire vs. rehab; rural vs. urban; funding floor and ceiling Workforce development needs—funds and other support Include facility equipment and furnishings Facility types: inpatient psychiatric, SUD continuum, withdrawal management, MHRC, more flexibility for hospital-based/linked services in rural areas, longer treatment services, peer respite, youth/family respite, correctional facilities, wellness centers Other Responses: MAT services Crisis prevention and alternative programs Minimize GPRA requirements Including housing—i.e., shelter services Use the same forms as prior rounds |

| Prompt 3 | What are some ideas to facilitate regional approaches or collaborative partnerships? |
|-----------------------|---|
| Participant Responses | Most Common Themes: Decrease match requirement for regional projects Reward regional and rural projects with higher point scores Require regional partners to demonstrate commitment and experience with partners Lead regional discussion opportunities and webinars on partnership development Other Responses: Support funding for telehealth, especially for rural projects Combine efforts with local MHSA plans and MHSA Oversight and Accountability Commission Share effective regional approaches and encourage replication of successful models |

| Prompt 4 | Are there other behavioral health facility types that provide crisis services not listed here that DHCS should consider? |
|-----------------------|---|
| Participant Responses | Most Common Themes: Mental health urgent care clinics—walk-in services Crisis call centers Receiving centers Proposed levels are too restrictive Respites: peer, youth/family, medical Broader access to outpatient levels and CCMUs Shelter housing MHRCs Other Responses: Urban tribal consortiums No Wrong Door approach—let applicants propose plans that justify services STRTPs ASAM level 3.3 AllCove Centers Emergency Psychiatric Assessment, Treatment, and Healing Units (EmPATH) Older adult programs |

| Prompt 5 | Are there facilities on this list that should not be considered as providing crisis services? |
|-----------------------|---|
| Participant Responses | Most Common Themes: Correctional facilities All for-profit organizations Other Responses: Housing |

| Prompt 6 | DHCS is considering using the same match requirements for Round 5 as prior rounds. What is your feedback on the proposed match for Round 5: Crisis Continuum? |
|-----------------------|---|
| Participant Responses | Most Common Themes: Include in-kind matches—i.e., staff time from project development team, architects, etc. Allow behavioral health subaccounts as match Reduce nonprofit match to 5% Other Responses: Match based on sliding scale Match based on lowest qualifying entity type in a consortium |

| Prompt 7 | What is your feedback on the proposed funding methods for Round 5: Crisis Continuum? |
|-----------------------|---|
| Participant Responses | Most Common Themes: Unfunded organizations from prior rounds should be prioritized 20% for state regions perhaps too high, 15% may be better Other Responses: More set-asides for tribal entities |

| Prompt 8 | What are the TA needs for applicants in administering Round 5: Crisis Continuum funds? |
|-----------------------|--|
| Participant Responses | Most Common Themes: Planning for pre-development projects for smaller organizations Examples of sustainable budget development Real estate, schematic plans Zoning and NIMBY issues Partnership development for nontraditional and regional efforts Other Responses: Proposal reviews |